1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	AMIR SHABAZZ,	No. 1:15-cv-00881-DAD-EPG
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT DEFENDANT
14	JEFFREY A. BEARD, et al.,	BRAZELTON BE DISMISSED
15	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 64)
16		
17	Plaintiff Amir Shabazz is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this	
18	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action now proceeds on plaintiff's Second	
19	Amended Complaint. (Doc. No. 26.) The matter was referred to the assigned magistrate judge	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On March 9, 2018, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
22	that defendant Paul D. Brazelton be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rules 4(m) and 25(a)	
23	of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (Doc. No. 64.) Defendant Brazelton passed away on	
24	November 11, 2016. ( <i>Id.</i> at 1.) The findings and recommendations were served on the parties	
25	with instructions that any objections must be filed within twenty-one days. (Id. at 4.) The	
26	twenty-one day period has expired, and the parties have not filed any objections to the pending	
27	findings and recommendations.	
28	/////	
J	1	1

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court holds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on March 9, 2018, (Doc. No. 64), are adopted in full; 2. Defendant Paul D. Brazelton is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rules 4(m) and 25(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and 3. This action is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 24, 2018