1		
2		
3		
<u>4</u>		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	MICHAEL BIRD,	CASE NO. 1:15-cv-00910MJS (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
12	V.	CLARIFICATION
13	A. ZUNIGA, et al.,	(ECF No. 24)
14	Defendants.	
15	Derendanter	
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil	
19	rights action brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1983. The action proceeds against	
20	Defendant Musleh on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment failure to protect claim.	
21	On May 4, 2016, the Court screened Plaintiff's first amended complaint and found	
22	that it stated a cognizable Eighth Amendment claim against Defendant Musleh but no	
23	other claims. (ECF No. 9.) Plaintiff's seeks clarification of the Court's screening order,	
24	specifically, an explanation as to why "the chain of causation was broken" with regard to	
25	two dismissed defendants, and "what would of kept the chain intact."	
26	The Court cannot provide Plaintiff legal advice or direction in crafting a cognizable	
27	claim. The Court has, through its screening order, provided Plaintiff with the legal	
28	standard applicable to his claims and	I the reasons those claims, as pled, were not

1	cognizable. The Court is unable to provide further clarification on this issue.	
2	Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for clarification is HEREBY DENIED.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated: <u>September 2, 2016</u> Isl Michael J. Seng	
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	