	1		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	DAVID KNAPP,	Case No. 1:15-cv-00961 DLB	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR	
12	v.	FAILURE TO FOLLOW COURT ORDER AND FAILURE TO PROSECUTE	
13	VIRK, et al.,	TWENTY-ONE DAY DEADLINE	
14	Defendants.	/	
15			
16	Plaintiff David Knapp ("Plaintiff"), a state inmate in the custody of the California		
17	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"), is proceeding pro se and in forma		
18	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed this action on Jun		
19	25, 2015.		
20	On November 4, 2015, the Court screened the complaint and dismissed it with leave to		
21	amend. Plaintiff received numerous extensions of time, with the last extension granted on May 4,		
22	2016. Pursuant to that order, an amended complaint was due within thirty (30) days. Over thirty		
23	(30) days have passed and he has not filed an amended complaint.		
24	Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, if any he has, why this action		
25	should not be dismissed for failure to follow a Court order and failure to prosecute. Plaintiff mus		
26	file a response to this order within twenty-one (21) days. Plaintiff may also comply by filing an		
27	amended complaint pursuant to the November 4, 2015, order.		
28	Plaintiff consented to the jurisdiction of the United Stat	tes Magistrate Judge on July 6, 2015.	
	ā		

1	Failure to follow this order will result in dismissal of this action.		
2			
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
4	Dated:	June 29, 2016	
5			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			