1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	HENDRIK BLOCK,	No. 15-cv-00991-DAD-EPG	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	ORDER CONTINUING EXPERT	
14	STARBUCKS CORPORATION, aka	<u>DISCOVERY</u>	
15	STARBUCKS COFFEE COMPANY, dba STARBUCKS STORE #7939; MATHEWS AND ASSOCIATES-2, LLC,	(Doc. No. 40)	
16	Defendants.		
17	Defendants.		
18	Before the court is the parties' stipulation to continue expert discovery. (Doc. No. 40.)		
19	Expert Kim Blackseth's original deposition had been re-noticed for January 18, 2017. ( <i>Id.</i> at ¶ 2.)		
20	However, Mr. Blackseth was unexpectedly hospitalized on January 17, 2017. (Id.) The parties		
21	have agreed to continue Mr. Blackseth's deposition to April 5, 2017. (Id. at ¶ 3.)		
22	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4) provides, "a schedule may be modified only for		
23	good cause and with the judge's consent." The focus of the "good cause" inquiry considers the		
24	moving party's diligence and reasons for seeking modification. Johnson v. Mammoth		
25	Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992).		
26	Accordingly, the court finds that good cause exists to continue the expert discovery		
27	deadline to April 7, 2017 to accommodate the new date for Mr. Blackseth's deposition. The		
28	court's amended scheduling order (Doc. No. 38) is hereby modified to reflect that all expert		
	l	1	

1	discovery shall now be completed <sup>1</sup> no later than April 7, 2017. All other dates, including thos	
2	for the last day for law and motion to be heard, pretrial conference and jury trial established by	
3	the court's December 22, 2016 amended scheduling order remain in full force and effect.	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated: <b>February 9, 2017</b>	Dale A. Dryd
6		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Completed" in this sense means that any disputes which may arise with respect to expert discovery be resolved, if necessary by the assigned magistrate judge, sufficiently far enough in advance of the deadline that sufficient time remains after resolution of any dispute for the expert discovery to be fully completed by the deadline set herein.