

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 HENDRICK BLOCK,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 STARBUCKS CORPORATION, aka  
15 STARBUCKS COFFEE COMPANY, dba  
16 STARBUCKS STORE #7939;  
MATHEWS AND ASSOCIATES-2, LLC,

17 Defendants.  
18

No. 1:15-cv-00991-DAD-EPG

ORDER CONTINUING DEADLINE FOR  
SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE AND  
VACATING PRE-TRIAL AND TRIAL  
DATES

(Doc. No. 52)

19 On July 5, 2017, the parties filed a joint stipulation seeking to continue the deadline for  
20 the holding of a settlement conference and vacate the pre-trial and trial dates. (Doc. No. 52.) At  
21 the hearing for the cross motions for summary judgment on June 20, 2017, the undersigned  
22 “ordered the parties to participate in a settlement conference before a magistrate judge within six  
23 weeks (i.e. August 1, 2017) . . . .” The undersigned also indicated that an order on the cross  
24 motions for summary judgment would not issue until after a settlement conference was held in  
25 this case. The court further “advised that it would permit the settlement conference to take place  
26 in Sacramento, rather than Fresno, should the parties so choose.” (*Id.* at 1 (citing Doc. No. 50).)  
27 The parties subsequently agreed to have the settlement conference held in Sacramento, but were  
28 unable to agree on a date for that settlement conference within the required six week period. The


1 parties were thereafter provided additional dates by the court for a settlement conference to be  
2 held in Fresno. However, as of June 26, 2017, a family medical situation requires counsel for  
3 Starbucks to remain in the Sacramento, California area for the foreseeable future. Since there are  
4 no available settlement conference dates in Sacramento within the six week period, the parties  
5 have requested additional time to hold the settlement conference. The parties have stipulated that  
6 they are amenable to vacating the pre-trial and trial dates and resetting those dates after the  
7 settlement conference is held.

8 Accordingly, for the reasons set forth above and pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the  
9 court:

- 10 1. Extends the deadline for the holding of a settlement conference to September 5, 2017  
11 and directs the parties to coordinate a mutually agreeable date for that settlement  
12 conference before a magistrate judge in the Sacramento Division of this court; and
- 13 2. Vacates the previously scheduled pre-trial conference and trial dates in this action with  
14 those dates being re-scheduled if necessary following the settlement conference.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: July 6, 2017

17   
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28