## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 1:15-cv-01026 EPG (PC) JOHN ERIC WILLIAMS, 12 Plaintiff. ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL 13 v. (ECF No. 11.) 14 HTAY, et al., 15 Defendant. 16 On March 17, 2016, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff 17 does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113 18 19 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an attorney to represent Plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern 20 District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). However, in certain exceptional circumstances the 21 Court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 22 F.3d at 1525. 23 Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the Court will seek 24 volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether 25 "exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of 26 the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the 27

complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Id</u>. (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

28

In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff argues that counsel should be appointed because he suffers an unspecified mental disability. This alone does not make Plaintiff's case exceptional under the Ninth Circuit's standards discussed above. Furthermore, at this stage in the proceedings, the Court cannot make a determination that Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits. At this juncture, Plaintiff's Complaint awaits the Court's screening required under 28 U.S.C. 1915. Thus, to date the Court has not found any cognizable claims in Plaintiff's Complaint for which to initiate service of process, and no other parties have yet appeared. Moreover, based on a review of the record in this case, the Court finds that Plaintiff can adequately articulate his claims. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion shall be denied without prejudice to renewal of the motion at a later stage of the proceedings.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 24, 2016

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE