

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEPHEN HALE; and O'BRIAN  
RANGEL, individually, on behalf of  
themselves, and all others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ENSIGN UNITED STATES DRILLING  
(CALIFORNIA) INC.; ENSIGN ENERGY  
SERVICES, INC.; and ENSIGN UNITED  
STATES DRILLING, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 1:15-cv-01042-DAD-JLT

DEFENDANT ENSIGN ENERGY  
SERVICES, INC. HAS BEEN DISMISSED  
WITHOUT PREJUDICE

(Doc. No. 30)

On April 18, 2016, the parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing defendant Ensign Energy Services, Inc. without prejudice and with each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees. (Doc. No. 30.) In light of the parties' stipulation, defendant Ensign Energy Services, Inc. has been terminated from the case, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been dismissed without prejudice and without an award of

/////  
/////  
/////  
/////

1 attorneys' fees and costs to either party. This dismissal does not affect the status of the other  
2 defendants in the matter.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: April 19, 2016

*Dale A. Floyd*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28