

1 Also, in accessing the state court's electronic database, it appears that Petitioner's state habeas
2 in case no. S228231 was denied by the California Supreme Court on November 10, 2015, yet Petitioner
3 has not notified the Court of that fact nor requested leave to file an amended petition containing the
4 newly exhausted claims. Petitioner's failure to do so could be construed as a lack of diligence in
5 pursuing habeas relief that would subject him to sanctions. Petitioner is advised to notify the Court
6 immediately of the status of his case and how he wishes to proceed.

7 **ORDER**

8 For the foregoing reasons, the Court HEREBY ORDERS:

- 9 1. **Within 30 days**, the Court **ORDERS** Petitioner to show cause in writing why the stay
10 should not be lifted due to Petitioner's failure to comply with the Court's order to regularly
11 file status reports.

12 **Petitioner is forewarned that his failure to comply with this order may result in an order**
13 **lifting the stay pursuant to Local Rule 110.**

14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: February 3, 2016

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE