

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MANUEL ANTONIO GONZALEZ,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
J. RAZO, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:15-cv-01098-DAD-EPG (PC)  
ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF’S SECOND  
MOTION TO ASSIST ATTORNEY  
STANLEY GOFF, JR., IN MOTION FOR  
PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT  
INJUNCTION AND DENYING REQUEST  
FOR ORDER DIRECTED AT ATTORNEY  
GOFF  
(ECF NO. 133)

Manuel Antonio Gonzalez is a state prisoner, and is the plaintiff in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which includes attendant state law claims. Mr. Gonzalez is represented by counsel.

On October 31, 2018, Mr. Gonzalez filed a motion to assist attorney Stanley Goff, Jr., Plaintiff’s counsel, in motion for preliminary and permanent injunction requiring Plaintiff to be placed on single cell status. (ECF No. 133).

As Mr. Gonzalez himself notes, this is his second such motion. The Court struck Plaintiff’s original motion, stating that “Plaintiff’s attorney may refile the motion if he believes it is appropriate.” (ECF No. 92). Despite this, Mr. Gonzalez himself once again

1 inappropriately filed the motion. Thus, the Court will once again strike Mr. Gonzalez's request  
2 for injunctive relief.

3 In addition to requesting an injunction, Mr. Gonzalez states that Mr. Goff told him he  
4 was going to file the motion but did not do so, and asks the Court to order Mr. Goff to explain  
5 why he did not file the motion. (ECF No. 133, pgs. 4-5). The Court will not issue such an  
6 order. As the Court told Mr. Gonzalez previously, "[i]f Plaintiff has an issue with how his  
7 counsel is communicating with him, he should address that issue with his counsel. If Plaintiff  
8 believes that his counsel's representation is inadequate, he may take the appropriate action  
9 including ending [Mr. Goff's] representation and proceeding *pro se*, substituting counsel, or  
10 even taking action against Mr. Goff.... So long as Plaintiff continues to retain Mr. Goff, Mr.  
11 Goff represents Plaintiff in this case." (ECF No. 108, pgs. 2-3).

12 Accordingly, based on the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 13 1. Plaintiff's request for a preliminary injunction is STRICKEN; and
- 14 2. Plaintiff's request for an order directing Mr. Goff to explain why he did not file  
15 the motion for an injunction is DENIED.

16  
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: November 1, 2018

18 /s/ Eric P. Goff  
19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE