1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	MANUEL ANTONIO GONZALEZ III,	Case No. 1:15-cv-01098-LJO-SKO (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION, WITH PREJUDICE, AND DIRECTING CLERK'S
12	V.	OFFICE TO RETURN EXHIBITS TO PLAINTIFF
13	J. RAZO, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	(Doc. 18)
15	/	
10		
16	Plaintiff Manuel Antonio Gonzalez III	("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se,
		("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se, C. § 1983 on July 16, 2015. On August 26, 2015,
16	filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C	
16 17	filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C	C. § 1983 on July 16, 2015. On August 26, 2015, d requested that they be received, stating "[he] is
16 17 18	filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C Plaintiff submitted exhibits to his complaint and aware the exhibits will be placed on the record el	C. § 1983 on July 16, 2015. On August 26, 2015, d requested that they be received, stating "[he] is
16 17 18 19	filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C Plaintiff submitted exhibits to his complaint and aware the exhibits will be placed on the record el On July 17, 2015, the Court denied Plain	C. § 1983 on July 16, 2015. On August 26, 2015, d requested that they be received, stating "[he] is ectronically." (Doc. 18.)
16 17 18 19 20	filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C Plaintiff submitted exhibits to his complaint and aware the exhibits will be placed on the record el On July 17, 2015, the Court denied Plain case to this case. In the order, Plaintiff was in	C. § 1983 on July 16, 2015. On August 26, 2015, d requested that they be received, stating "[he] is ectronically." (Doc. 18.) htiff's motion to transfer exhibits from his closed
16 17 18 19 20 21	filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C Plaintiff submitted exhibits to his complaint and aware the exhibits will be placed on the record el On July 17, 2015, the Court denied Plain case to this case. In the order, Plaintiff was in themselves at the time of filing and no circum	C. § 1983 on July 16, 2015. On August 26, 2015, d requested that they be received, stating "[he] is ectronically." (Doc. 18.) ntiff's motion to transfer exhibits from his closed nformed that pleadings must be complete within
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C Plaintiff submitted exhibits to his complaint and aware the exhibits will be placed on the record el On July 17, 2015, the Court denied Plain case to this case. In the order, Plaintiff was in themselves at the time of filing and no circun justified. It is unclear if Plaintiff misunderstood	C. § 1983 on July 16, 2015. On August 26, 2015, d requested that they be received, stating "[he] is ectronically." (Doc. 18.) ntiff's motion to transfer exhibits from his closed nformed that pleadings must be complete within estances justifying deviation from the rule were
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C Plaintiff submitted exhibits to his complaint and aware the exhibits will be placed on the record el On July 17, 2015, the Court denied Plain case to this case. In the order, Plaintiff was in themselves at the time of filing and no circun justified. It is unclear if Plaintiff misunderstood exhibits in contravention of the prior order. Reg	C. § 1983 on July 16, 2015. On August 26, 2015, d requested that they be received, stating "[he] is ectronically." (Doc. 18.) htiff's motion to transfer exhibits from his closed informed that pleadings must be complete within instances justifying deviation from the rule were the order or is intentionally attempting to file the
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C Plaintiff submitted exhibits to his complaint and aware the exhibits will be placed on the record el On July 17, 2015, the Court denied Plain case to this case. In the order, Plaintiff was in themselves at the time of filing and no circun justified. It is unclear if Plaintiff misunderstood exhibits in contravention of the prior order. Reg	C. § 1983 on July 16, 2015. On August 26, 2015, d requested that they be received, stating "[he] is ectronically." (Doc. 18.) ntiff's motion to transfer exhibits from his closed nformed that pleadings must be complete within estances justifying deviation from the rule were the order or is intentionally attempting to file the ardless, the Court cannot serve as a repository for laintiff's pleading may not be filed separately and

<sup>28 &</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If evidence is submitted, it must be filed concurrently with whatever pleading, motion, or other filing it is submitted in support of; it may not be filed separately and at an earlier or later date than the filing it is intended to support.

1	Therefore, Plaintiff's request that the exhibits be filed is DENIED, with prejudice, and the	
2	Clerk's Office is HEREBY DIRECTED to return his exhibits.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated: August 31, 2015 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto	
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	

I