Lobato v. Riar et al Doc. 14

Bruce A. Neilson #096952 7108 N. Fresno St. #410 2 Fresno, California 93720 **Telephone (559) 432-9831** 3 Facsimile (559) 432-1837 4 **Attorney for Defendants** Paul Riar, Simerjit Riar and Mahja 5 **Business Solutions** 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 **** 9 CASE NO. 1:15-cv-01127--BAM RACHEL LOBATO, 10 STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR Plaintiff, 11 EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PAUL RIAR aka SURINDER PAUL SINGH 12 VS. RIAR, individually and dba ROEDING PARK SHELL; MAHJA BUSINESS 13 SOLUTIONS, a California corporation, PAUL RIAR aka SURINDER PAUL dba ROEDING PARK SHELL; and SINGH RIAR, individually and dba 14 SIMERJIT RIAR TO RESPOND TO ROEDING PARK SHELL; MAHJA **COMPLAINT** BUSINESS SOLUTIONS, a California 15 corporation, dba ROEDING PARK ORDER CONTINUING SCHEDULING 16 SHELL; SIMERJIT RIAR; CONFERENCE HEARING 17 Defendants. 18 WHEREAS: 19 Plaintiff RACHEL LOBATO filed her complaint in this action on July 20, 2015. 20 2. This is the second request for an extension of time for PAUL RIAR aka SURINDER 21 22 PAUL SINGH RIAR, individually and dba ROEDING PARK SHELL; MAHJA BUSINESS SOLUTIONS, a California corporation, dba ROEDING PARK SHELL; and SIMERJIT RIAR 23 ("Defendants"). A previous extension of time was granted by the plaintiff within the statutory 24 allowance; thus, court approval is required for a further extension of time. 25 3. Plaintiff and Defendants are in settlement negotiations at this time. 26 27 4. Defendants have had a CASp inspection performed and report prepared, however a 28 supplemental report that will affect a proposed settlement is being prepared and should be

available within a week to 10 days.

1	5. The parties agree that settlement of this case would save valuable court time and resources.
2	6. The parties have agreed to extend Defendants' time to respond to the complaint until
3	October 12, 2015, subject to the court's approval, and believe that the case can be settled within
4	that time.
5	7. This extension of time will not alter any date or event already set by Court order, including
6	the mandatory scheduling conference.
7	NOW THEREFORE, Defendants through their attorneys, and Plaintiff JOSE ESCOBEDO
8	through his attorneys, hereby stipulate and agree that the time for PAUL RIAR aka SURINDER
9	PAUL SINGH RIAR, individually and dba ROEDING PARK SHELL; MAHJA BUSINESS
10	SOLUTIONS, a California corporation, dba ROEDING PARK SHELL; and SIMERJIT RIAR to
11	answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint shall be extended up to and including October 12,
12	2015, pending court approval.
13	
14	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
15	MOORE LAW FIRM, PC Dated: September 29, 2015 /s/Tanya Moore
16	Tanya Moore, Attorney for Plaintiff Rachel Lobato
17	
18	Dated: September 29, 2015 /s/Bruce A. Neilson
19	Bruce A. Neilson, Attorney for Defendants Paul Riar, Simerjit Riar and Mahja Business
20	Solutions Solutions
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	ORDER
2	IT IC CO ODDEDED that Defendants through their attendance and Disintiff IOCE
3	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendants through their attorneys, and Plaintiff JOSE
4	ESCOBEDO through his attorneys, hereby stipulate and agree that the time for PAUL RIAR aka
5	SURINDER PAUL SINGH RIAR, individually and dba ROEDING PARK SHELL; MAHJA
6	BUSINESS SOLUTIONS, a California corporation, dba ROEDING PARK SHELL; and
7	SIMERJIT RIAR to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint shall be extended up to and
8	including October 12, 2015, pending court approval.
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Scheduling Conference is continued from October
10	20, 2015 to November 30, 2015 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 8 (BAM) before Judge McAuliffe. J
11	Joint Scheduling Conference Statement must be electronically filed 7 days before the hearing date
12	and shall be emailed, in Word format, to bamorders@caed.uscourts.gov.
13	_
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.
15	Dated: September 30, 2015 /s/ Barlara A. McAuliffe
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	