

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 SCOTT K. RICKS,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 A. AUSTRIA, et al.,

10 Defendant.

Case No. 1:15-cv-01147-BAM-PC

ORDER RE MOTION FOR
CLARIFICATION

ECF NO. 12

11
12 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis pursuant to 42 U.S.C.
13 § 1983.

14 On August 17, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion titled as a motion for clarification. Plaintiff
15 notified the Court that he has submitted the documentation required to support his motion to
16 proceed in forma pauperis (ifp) . Plaintiff is advised that his motion to proceed ifp was granted
17 on July 27, 2015. Plaintiff submitted a second motion to proceed ifp and was notified that the
18 second motion was terminated.

19 Plaintiff is proceeding in forma pauperis and does not need to do anything more
20 regarding his status. Any further motions regarding Plaintiff's ifp status will be denied as moot.

21 Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion filed on August 17, 2015, is moot.

22
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: August 25, 2015

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE