

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SCOTT K. RICKS,)	Case No.: 1:15-cv-01148-AWI-BAM (PC)
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
)	RECOMMENDATIONS (ECF No. 51), AND
v.)	GRANTING DEFENDANT ONYEJE’S
)	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
O. ONYEJE, et al.,)	(ECF No. 41)
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
)	

Plaintiff Scott K. Ricks is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This case proceeds on Plaintiff’s complaint against Defendants Onyeje and Navarro for deliberate indifference to serious medical needs in violation of the Eighth Amendment. (ECF No. 25.)¹

On March 12, 2018, the assigned Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations (“F&R”) recommending that Defendant Onyeje’s motion for summary judgment be granted. (ECF No. 51.) The parties were given fourteen days to file objections to the F&R.

Plaintiff filed a motion for an extension of time to file objections to the F&R, dated March 18, 2018. (ECF No. 53.) The motion was granted. (ECF No. 54.) Plaintiff subsequently filed timely objections, on extension. (ECF No. 55.) Defendant Onyeje has filed no objections.

¹ This case previously proceeded against three additional defendants who were dismissed from this action for the failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, on February 8, 2017. (ECF No. 29.) Thus, this matter proceeds solely against Defendants Onyeje and Navarro at this time.

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, the
2 Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the
3 Court concludes that the F&R is supported by the record and by proper analysis.

4 **ORDER**

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

6 1. The findings and recommendations filed on March 12, 2018, (ECF No. 51) are
7 adopted in full;

8 2. Defendant Onyeje's motion for summary judgment, filed November 1, 2017 (ECF
9 No. 41), is granted; and

10 3. This matter is referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge for further proceedings
11 consistent with this order.

12
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: May 9, 2018



15 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE