| 1 | | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | KENNETH OLIVER, |) Case No. 1:15-cv-01173-DAD-SAB (PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | |
| 13 | v. | ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH A COURT ORDER AND FOR FAILURE TO STATE A COGNIZABLE CLAIM FOR RELIEF |
| 14 | M. DOCANTO, et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. |) [ECF Nos. 1, 7] |
| 16 | |) [ECI Nos. 1, 7]) |
| 17 | Plaintiff Kenneth Oliver is appearing pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § | |
| 18 | 1983. | |
| 19 | On November 19, 2015, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim | |
| 20 | under section 1983 and ordered Plaintiff to file an amended complaint within thirty days. 28 U.S.C. § | |
| 21 | 1915A; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). Plaintiff was granted an additional thirty days to comply on December | |
| 22 | 17, 2015. | |
| 23 | More than thirty days have since passed, and Plaintiff has not complied with or otherwise | |
| 24 | responded to the Court's order. As a result, there is no pleading on file which sets forth any claims | |
| 25 | upon which relief may be granted. Within twenty (20) days from the date of service of this order, | |
| 26 | Plaintiff is directed to show cause why this action should not be dismissed. Failure to comply with | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | /// | |

| 1 | this order will result in the action being dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to state a cognizable | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | claim for relief. | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 5 | Dated: February 1, 2016 | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |