1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL HERNANDEZ GONZALEZ,	Case No. 1:15-cv-01200-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE
13	v.	PARTIES' MOTIONS REGARDING DISCOVERY (ECF Nos. 57, 61, 62, 63, 64)
14	FRESNO SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, et	ORDER REFERRING CASE TO POST- SCREENING ADR AND STAYING CASE
15	al.,  Defendants.	FOR 120 DAYS
16	Defendants.	
17	Plaintiff Michael Hernandez Gonzalez ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se	
18	and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. All defendants	
19	have answered the complaint. (ECF Nos. 28, 65.) This action proceeds against Defendants	
20	Mims, Gutierrez, Palacios, and Nemoto for allegedly failing to protect Plaintiff in violation of the	
21	Fourteenth Amendment.	
22	Currently before the Court are a series of motions filed by the parties relating to discovery	
23	and amendment of the Court's discovery and scheduling order. (ECF Nos. 57, 61, 62, 63, 64.)	
24	As discussed below, the Court has identified this case as appropriate for post-screening ADR	
25	(Alternative Dispute Resolution), which is an effort to resolve such cases more expeditiously and	
26	less expensively. As all formal discovery will be stayed pending the filing of defense counsel's	
27	written notice to opt out or the outcome of the settlement conference, these motions will be	
28	denied without prejudice to refiling.	

No claims, defenses, or objections shall be waived by the parties' participation in the settlement conference. In appropriate cases, defense counsel from the California State Attorney General's Office has agreed to participate in these early settlements.

As set forth in the screening order, Plaintiff has stated a cognizable civil rights claim. But, stating a cognizable claim does not mean Plaintiff will prevail at trial. Thus, the Court stays this action for a period of 120 days to allow the parties to investigate Plaintiff's claims, meet and confer, and then participate in a settlement conference.

Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone to conduct a settlement conference at United States Courthouse in Fresno, California on **June 19, 2018, at 10:00 a.m.** The Court will issue any necessary transportation order in due course.<sup>1</sup>

In issuing this order, there is a presumption that this case will proceed to a settlement conference.<sup>2</sup> However, if after investigating Plaintiff's claims and speaking with Plaintiff, and after conferring with others, defense counsel in good faith finds that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources, defense counsel may move to opt out of this early settlement conference. A written notice to opt out must be filed within thirty (30) days of the date of the issuance of this order.

The parties shall each submit to Judge Boone a confidential settlement conference statement, as described below, to arrive at least seven days (one week) prior to the conference.

The Court puts the parties on notice that if Plaintiff has any outstanding criminal restitution obligation, fines and/or penalties, these settlement negotiations shall not be geared towards what the restitution obligation is, but what the value the of the case itself is to each side, irrespective of any outstanding restitution obligation.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The parties' pending discovery motions (ECF Nos. 57, 61, 62, 63, 64) are DENIED, without prejudice;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff has stated that his anticipated release date is April 25, 2018. (ECF No. 64.) In the event Plaintiff is released prior to the settlement conference, no transportation order will be issued.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the case does not settle, the Court will then lift the stay of its Discovery and Scheduling Order or issue an Amended Discovery and Scheduling Order forthwith.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- 2. This action is STAYED for 120 days to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before the discovery process continues. Except as provided herein or by subsequent court order, no other pleadings or other documents may be filed in this case during the stay of this action. The parties shall not engage in formal discovery, but may engage in informal discovery to prepare for the settlement conference.
- This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone on June 19, 2018, at 10:00 a.m., at the United States Courthouse located at 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California.
- 4. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding settlement shall attend in person.
- 5. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages.
  The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not proceed and may be reset to another date.
- 6. Defendants shall provide a confidential settlement statement to the following email address: saborders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement statement to U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721, "Attention: Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone." The envelope shall be marked "Confidential Settlement Statement". Settlement statements shall arrive no later than June 12, 2017. Parties shall also file a Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement. See Local Rule 270(d). Settlement statements should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on any other party. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.
- 7. The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed or neatly printed, and include the following:
  - a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.

26

27

27

28