

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 LONNIE DAWSON,
5 Petitioner,
6 v.
7 R. ZUNIGA, Warden,
8 Respondent.
9

Case No. 1:15-cv-01217-LJO-SKO HC

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
RESPONDENT SHOULD NOT BE
SANCTIONED FOR FAILURE TO
RESPOND TO THE PETITION**

(Doc. 18)

RESPONSE DUE WITHIN 15 DAYS

10
11 On January 7, 2016, the Court entered an order granting Respondent's third motion for an
12 extension of time in which to respond to the petition for writ of habeas corpus in the above-
13 captioned case, which was filed on August 6, 2015. Doc. 18. Although the order required that
14 the response be filed on or before February 8, 2015, Respondent has neither filed his response nor
15 requested a fourth extension of time in which to do so.

16 The Court has discretion to impose any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or
17 within the inherent power of the Court, based on Respondent's failure to comply with the Court's
18 order. F.R.Civ.P. 11; Local Rule 110.

19 Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS that within 15 days from the date of this order,
20 Respondent shall file a written response to the Court, showing cause why sanctions should not be
21 imposed against him for failure to obey the Court's order of January 7, 2016. Filing of the answer
22 to the petition shall be considered an appropriate response.
23

24
25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: February 10, 2016

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE