1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RASHEED HILSON, SR.,	No. 1:15-cv-01240-DAD-MJS (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	JESSE ARNETT, et al.,	RECOMMENDATION AND DENYING PARTIAL MOTION TO DISMISS
15	Defendants.	(Doc. Nos. 23, 40)
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights	
19	action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States	
20	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302 of the United States	
21	District Court for the Eastern District of California.	
22	On April 17, 2017, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and a recommendation	
23	recommending that the motion to dismiss plaintiff's excessive use of force claim brought on	
24	behalf of defendants Arnett and Gamboa be denied. (Doc. No. 40.) The findings and	
25	recommendation provided that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen days. No	
26	objections to the findings and recommendations were filed and the time for doing so has passed.	
27	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a	

and recommendation to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly, The findings and recommendation filed April 17, 2017 (Doc. No. 40) are adopted 1. in full; and 2. The motion to dismiss plaintiff's excessive use of force claim brought on behalf of defendants Arnett and Gamboa (Doc. No. 23) is denied. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 10, 2017