2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DEBBIE BECHTEL,	) Case N	No.: 1:15-CV-01253- JLT
12	Plaintiff,	) SCHE	DULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
13	V.	) Pleadi	ng Amendment Deadline: 6/24/2016
14	LEBEC COUNTY WATER DISTRICT, et al.,	) Discor	very Deadlines:
15	Defendants.	) Discov	Initial Disclosures: 3/18/2016
16		)	Non-Expert: 12/16/2016 Expert: 1/27/2017
17			Mid-Discovery Status Conference: 6/27/2016 at 8:30 a.m.
18			0/2//2010 at 6.30 a.m.
19		Non-D	Dispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 3/3/2017
20			Hearing: 3/31/2017
21		Dispos	sitive Motion Deadlines:
22			Filing: 3/3/2017 Hearing: 4/14/2017
23			-
24		Settler	ment Conference: 4/28/2017 at 10:30 a.m. Courtroom 10
25		Pre-Tr	ial Conference:
26		110 11	5/19/2017 at 8:30 a.m.
27			510 19 <sup>th</sup> Street, Bakersfield, CA
28		Trial:	7/11/2017 at 8:30 a.m. 510 19 <sup>th</sup> Street, Bakersfield, CA Jury trial: 4-5 days

#### I. **Date of Scheduling Conference**

January 21, 2016.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### II. **Appearances of Counsel**

Randall Rumph appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.

John Szewczyk appeared on behalf of Defendants.

#### III. **Pleading Amendment Deadline**

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than June 24, 2016.

#### IV. **Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date**

The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before March 18, 2016.

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before **December 16, 2016,** and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **January 27, 2017**.

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses<sup>1</sup>, in writing, on or **November 18, 2016**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **December 6, 2016**. The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions

27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the event an expert will offer opinions related to an independent medical or mental health evaluation, the examination SHALL occur sufficiently in advance of the disclosure deadline so the expert's report fully details the expert's opinions in this regard.

included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **June 27, 2016** at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California. A Joint Mid-Discovery Status Conference Report, carefully prepared and executed by all counsel, shall be electronically filed in CM/ECF, one (1) full week prior to the Conference and shall be e-mailed, in Word format to, JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel may appear by telephone, provided a written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than five (5) court days before the noticed hearing date. In the event that more than one attorney requests to appear by telephone then it shall be the obligation of the requesting part(ies) to arrange a Court Call conference.

## V. <u>Pre-Trial Motion Schedule</u>

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than **March 3, 2017**, and heard on or before **March 31, 2017**. Non-dispositive motions are heard at 9:00 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.

No written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from calendar.

In scheduling such motions, the Magistrate Judge may grant applications for an order shortening

time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion must comply with Local Rule 251.

Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions by telephone, provided a written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than five (5) court days before the noticed hearing date. In the event that more than one attorney requests to appear by telephone then it shall be the obligation of the moving part(ies) to arrange and originate a conference call to the court.

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **March 3, 2017**, and heard no later than **April 14, 2017**, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California. In scheduling such motions, **counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260**.

# VI. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a summary judgment motion; and, 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts <u>at least five days before</u> the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. **Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken.** 

### VII. <u>Pre-Trial Conference Date</u>

**May 19, 2017**, at 8:30 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.

The parties are ordered to file a <u>Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2)</u>. The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, directly to Judge Thurston's chambers, by email at JLTOrders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to <u>Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules</u> of Practice for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

### VIII. Trial Date

**July 11, 2017**, at 8:30 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.

- A. This is a jury trial.
- B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 4-5 days.
- C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California, Rule 285.

#### **IX.** Settlement Conference

A Settlement Conference is scheduled for **April 28, 2017** at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom 10, at the Robert E. Coyle Federal Courthouse in Fresno, California. The settlement conference will be conducted by Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean.

Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, the attorneys who will try the case shall appear at the Settlement Conference with the parties and the person or persons having full authority to negotiate and settle the case on any terms<sup>2</sup> at the conference. Consideration of settlement is a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible the representative shall have the

1

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference.

At least twenty-one days before the settlement conference, Plaintiff SHALL submit to Defendant via fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful<sup>3</sup> settlement demand which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, **no later than** fourteen days before the settlement conference, Defendant SHALL respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer, which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate.

If settlement is not achieved, each party **SHALL** attach copies of their settlement offers to their Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall not be filed on the court docket.

## CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

At least five court days before the Settlement Conference, the parties shall submit, directly to Judge Thurston's chambers by e-mail to EPGorders@caed.uscourts.gov, a Confidential Settlement Conference Statement. The statement should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on any other party, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference Statement. Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the Settlement Conference indicated prominently thereon.

The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:

- A. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
- В. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
- C. A summary of the proceedings to date.

authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent

demand.

3 "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If, however, the offering party is only willing to offer a settlement which it knows the other party will not accept, this should trigger a recognition the case is not in a settlement posture and the parties should confer about continuing or vacating the settlement conference via stipulation.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	X.
6	Tri
7	
8	XI.
9	
10	XI
11	
12	and
13	am
14	inc
15	Pro
16	XI
17	
18	suit
19	par
20	to r

- D. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial.
- E. The relief sought.
- F. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers and demands.

# X. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other Techniques to Shorten Trial

Not applicable at this time.

## XI. Related Matters Pending

There are no pending related matters.

## XII. Compliance with Federal Procedure

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules to efficiently handle its increasing case load, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

#### XIII. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested.

|| ///

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

|| || ///

Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **January 22, 2016**