1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7			
8	MARIO MOLINA,	Case No. 1:15-cv-01260-DAD-EPG (PC)	
9 10	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR INTERPRETER	
11	v.	(ECF NO. 60)	
12	K. HOLLAND, et al.,		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15			
16	An evidentiary hearing is currently set for September 29, 2017, at 11:00 a.m. (ECF No.		
17	58). On August 24, 2017, Plaintiff filed a motion for interpreter. (ECF No. 60). According to		
18	Plaintiff, he needs an interpreter because he has a difficult time speaking and understanding the		
19	English language.		
20	The Court finds good cause to grant Plaintiff's motion. An interpreter will be provided		
21	for Plaintiff at the upcoming evidentiary hearing.		
22	As there will be an interpreter at the evidentiary hearing, the parties should be prepared to		
23	take frequent breaks to allow sufficient time for the interpreter to rest, as this type of		
24	interpretation is very taxing on the interpreter. The parties should also be prepared to put on their		
25	evidence efficiently in order to allow for the additional time for interpretation and frequent rest		
26	breaks.		
27			
28			
		1	
	l l		

1	Accordingly, based on the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion fo	r
2	interpreter is GRANTED.	
3 4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5		
6	Dated: September 5, 2017 /s/ Encir P. Group- UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	2	_