1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID ESTRADA,) Case No.: 1:15-cv-01292-AWI-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF NEW DISCOVERY SCHEDULE AS PREMATURE AND UNNECESSARY [ECF No. 77]
13	v.	
14	TERESA MACIS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.)
16)
17	Plaintiff David Estrada is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On March 2, 2017, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting that the Court issue a new updated	
20	discovery schedule.	
21	On September 13, 2016, the Court granted Defendants' request for a protective order and	
22	stayed all merits-based discovery pending resolution of the motion for summary judgment for failure	
23	to exhaust the administrative remedies.	
24	On February 9, 2017, the undersigned issued Findings and Recommendations recommending	
25	that Defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted as to all Defendants, except Defendant	
26	Evangene Garnett.	
27	///	
28	///	

As Plaintiff was advised in the Court's September 13, 2016, order, the Court will issue an amended discovery scheduling order after final resolution of Defendants' motion for summary judgment. As the Findings and Recommendations have yet to be resolved, Plaintiff's present request for an amended discovery order is premature and unnecessary and is denied on such basis.

´ ||

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 3, 2017

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

July S. Be