1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	DAVID ESTRADA,	Case No.: 1:15-cv-01292-AWI-SAB (PC)
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANTS
9	v.)	WHITFORD, VICKJORD AND FLORES TO FILE RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS TO COMPEL
10	TERESA MACIS, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	[ECF Nos. 56, 58, 76]
12)	
13		
14	Plaintiff David Estrada is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
15	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
16	On March 29, 2017, Defendants' motion for summary judgment was denied as to Defendants	
17	Garnett, Whitford, Vickjord, and Flores. In the order, the Court directed only Defendant Garnett to	
18	file a response to Plaintiff's pending motions to compel, filed August 22, 2016 and August 25, 2016,	
19	respectively. The Court hereby clarifies that Defendants Garnett, Whitford, Vickjord and Flores shall	
20	file an appropriate response to Plaintiff's pending motions to compel, filed August 22, 2016 (Doc. No.	
21	56), August 25, 2016 (Doc. No. 58), and March 2, 2017 (Doc. No. 76), within twenty-one days from	
22	the date of service of this order.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	SIP
25	Dated: April 3, 2017	July N. Lave
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		