

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
6

7 GLEN A. DAVIS,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 A. HERRICK and R. PARKER,

11 Defendants.  
12

Case No. 1:15-cv-01299-LJO-EPG (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS  
(ECF NOS. 1 & 52)

13 Glen A. Davis (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*  
14 in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a  
15 United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

16 On February 15, 2018, Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean entered findings and  
17 recommendations, recommending that Plaintiff’s claim against defendant Herrick based on the  
18 alleged filing of a false rules violation report be dismissed. (ECF No. 52).

19 Both parties were provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings and  
20 recommendations. The time period for objecting has passed, and no objections have been  
21 filed.<sup>1</sup>

22 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this  
23 Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,  
24 the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper  
25 analysis.  
26

---

27 <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff filed “Objections to Magistrate Judges Findings and Recommendations” on March 8, 2018.  
28 (ECF No. 53). However, these objections appear to relate to the findings and recommendations that were issued  
on February 13, 2018 (ECF No. 51).

