1 2 3 <u>4</u> UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 ALFREDO PROVENCIO, 1:15 -cv-01327-LJO-MJS (HC) 8 9 Petitioner. ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL 10 ٧. JOE LIZZARRAGA, (ECF No. 24) 11 Respondent. 12 13 14 Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists 15 no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., 16 Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 17 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the 18 appointment of counsel at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so 19 require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The Court finds that 20 the interests of justice do not require the appointment of counsel in this case at the 21 present time. 22 Accordingly, Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is HEREBY 23 DENIED. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 26 1st Michael J. Seng Dated: October 27, 2017 27 28