

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DAVID A. ESTRADA,

Plaintiff,

V.

OLGA BEREGOVSKAYA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:15-cv-01335-LJO-EPG (PC)

ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT
CONFERENCE ON NOVEMBER 17, 2017

David A. Estrada (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court has determined that this case will benefit from a settlement conference. Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Michael J. Seng to conduct a settlement conference at California State Prison, Corcoran (CSP-COR), 4001 King Avenue, Corcoran, CA 93212, on November 17, 2017, at 9:00 a.m. The Court will issue the necessary transportation writ in due course.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Michael J. Seng on November 17, 2017, at CSP-COR.
2. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding

111

111

1 settlement shall attend in person.¹

2

3 3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses, and damages at issue

4 in this case. The failure of any counsel, party, or authorized person subject to this order to

5 appear in person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will

6 not proceed and will be reset to another date.

7

8 4. Defendants shall provide a confidential settlement statement to the following email

9 address: **mjsorders@caed.uscourts.gov**. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement

10 statement to U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721,

11 **“Attention: Magistrate Judge Michael J. Seng.”** The envelope shall be marked

12 “Confidential Settlement Statement.” Settlement statements shall arrive no later than

13 November 10, 2017. Parties shall also file a Notice of Submission of Confidential

14 Settlement Conference Statement (See Local Rule 270(d)). Settlement statements **should**

15 **not be filed** with the Clerk of the Court **nor served on any other party**. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked “confidential” with the date and time of the settlement

16 conference indicated prominently thereon.

17

18 5. The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed

19 or neatly printed, and include the following:

20 a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.

21 20 ¹ While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, “the district court

22 has the authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory

23 settlement conferences....” United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana

24 Islands, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9th Cir. 2012) (“the district court has broad authority to

25 compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s].”). The term “full authority to settle”

26 means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore

27 settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. G.

28 Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989), cited with

29 approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The individual

30 with full authority to settle must also have “unfettered discretion and authority” to change the

31 settlement position of the party, if appropriate. Pitman v. Brinker Int’l., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86

32 (D. Ariz. 2003), amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int’l., Inc., 2003 WL 23353478 (D.

33 Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is

34 that the parties’ view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Pitman, 216

35 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not

36 to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. Nick v. Morgan’s Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590,

37 596-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

- b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the party's likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
- c. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and trial.
- d. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.
- e. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement conference, including how much a party is willing to accept and/or willing to pay.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **September 25, 2017**

/s/ Eric P. Groj
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE