1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CASEY LEE ROWLAND,	Case No. 1:15-cv-01475-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF NON-
13	V.	OPPOSITION OK STATEMENT OF NON- OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
14	JEFFREY A. BEARD, et al.,	(ECF No. 18)
15	Defendants.	
16		THIRTY (30) DAY DEADLINE
17	Plaintiff Casey Lee Rowland ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in	
18	forma pauperis in this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on	
19	Plaintiff's first amended complaint for: (1) an Eighth Amendment claim against Defendants	
20	Vasquez, Leon, Llamas, and Pavich in their individual capacities arising out of allegations of	
21	sewage overflowing into Plaintiff's cell and lack of cleaning supplies; (2) an Eighth Amendment	
22	claim against Defendant Leon in her individual capacity arising out of allegations that Defendant	
23	Leon labelled Plaintiff a snitch; (3) an Eighth Amendment claim for deliberate indifference to	
24	serious medical needs against Defendant Melo in his individual capacity; and (4) a First	
25	Amendment retaliation claim against Defendant Leon in her individual capacity. (ECF Nos. 13,	
26	14.)	
27	On March 28, 2017, Defendants Leon, Llamas, Melo, Pavich, and Vasquez filed a motion	
28	for summary judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 for failure to exhaust	
		1

1	administrative remedies. (ECF No. 18.) Plaintiff was provided with notice of the requirements	
2	for opposing a motion for summary judgment. Woods v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2012);	
3	Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 957 (9th Cir. 1988); Klingele v. Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 409, 411-	
4	12 (9th Cir. 1988). (ECF No. 18-1.) Plaintiff's opposition was due within twenty-one (21) days	
5	of service of Defendant's motion. More than thirty (30) days have passed, but Plaintiff has not	
6	filed an opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion. Plaintiff also has not otherwise	
7	communicated with the Court.	
8	Pursuant to Local Rule 230(1), Plaintiff is HEREBY ORDERED to file an opposition or a	
9	statement of non-opposition to Defendant's motion within thirty (30) days. <u>Plaintiff is warned</u>	
10	that the failure to comply with this order will result in dismissal of this action, with	
11	prejudice, for failure to prosecute and failure to obey a court order.	
12		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14	Dated: May 2, 2017 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe	
15	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	