1	STAN S. MALLISON (Bar No. 184191)	
2	StanM@TheMMLawFirm.com	
4	HECTOR R. MARTINEZ (Bar No. 206336) HectorM@TheMMLawFirm.com	
3	NATALIA RAMIREZ LEE (Bar No. 322017)	
4	NRamirezLee@TheMMLawFirm.com	
4	MALLISON & MARTINEZ 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 730	
5	Oakland, California 94612-3547	
	Telephone: (510) 832-9999	
6	Facsimile: (510) 832-1101	
7	MARIO MARTINEZ, (Bar No. 200721)	
8	EDCAR I ACUII ACOCHO (Por No. 205567)	
o	MARTINEZ, AGUILASOCHO, & LYNCH	
9	P.O. Box 1998	
10	Bakersfield, California 93303	
10	Telephone: (661) 859-1174 Facsimile: (661) 840-6154	
11	Facsimile: (661) 840-6154	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
14	Michael IE Smith #100426	
13	Michael J.F. Smith, #109426 John L. Migliazzo, #272066	
14	A MONTH A PROPERCY AND A PROPERCY AND A CORPORATIONAL	
14	1391 West Shaw Avenue, Suite D	
15	Fresno, California 93711	
16	(559) 229-3900	
10	Fax (559) 229-3903	
17	Attorneys for Defendant, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	CIVILED STATES DISTRICT COCKT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	MARISOL GOMEZ and IGNACIO OSORIO,	CASE NO. 1:15-cv-01489-AWI-BAM
20	on behalf of themselves and others similarly	CI ACC ACTION
21	situated,	CLASS ACTION
21	DI : «:cc	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
22	Plaintiffs,	TO CONTINUE DEADLINE TO FILE CLASS NOTICE AND DISTRIBUTION
23	vs.	PLAN
43		G 11 F1 1 G 1 1 20 2015
24	J. JACOBO FARM LABOR CONTRACTOR,	Complaint Filed: September 30, 2015
25	INC., and Does 1 through 20, inclusive,	
43	Defendants.	Before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii
26		
27		-
	41	

1 The Parties have been meeting and conferring about the class notice process. The parties 2 have agreed that the jointly submitted class notice that was originally approved by this Court, 3 contained errors as to the class periods for the AWPA subclass and Final Paycheck Subclass (Doc. 140-1). In particular, the class notice stated that the AWPA subclass and Final Paycheck subclass 4 5 periods were from September 30, 2011 to November 5, 2019. Instead, the Parties agree that the AWPA subclass and Fina Paycheck subclass periods are from September 30, 2012 to November 5, 6 7 2019, as both of these subclasses are subject to a 3-year statute of limitations. Accordingly, the 8 Parties stipulate to modifying the class notice in order to correct the AWPA and Final Paycheck 9 subclass periods. 10 **MALLISON & MARTINEZ** 11 DATED: January 21, 2020 12 13 By: <u>/s/ Natalia Ramirez Lee</u> Stan S. Mallison 14 Hector R. Martinez Natalia Ramirez 15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 16 **17** DATED: January 21, 2020 MICHAEL JF SMITH APC 18 19 By: /s/ John L. Migliazzo 20 John L Migliazzo Michael Smith 21 Attorneys for Defendant 22 23 24 25 **26** 27 28

ORDER

The parties' stipulation (Doc. No. 148) is APPROVED. The Court's second modification order (Doc. No. 138) is MODIFIED to the extent that the correct class period for Plaintiffs' derivative wages upon termination and AWPA claims is "from September 30, 2012, to November 5, 2019." The parties shall modify the originally approved class notice to reflect the correct class period for the Final Paycheck and AWPA Subclasses.

In addition, the parties are ordered to SHOW CAUSE in writing as to why the class period for Plaintiffs' rest period claim should not also be modified in light of *Murphy v. Kenneth Cole Productions, Inc.*, 40 Cal. 4th 1094, 1099 (2007). In *Murphy*, the California Supreme Court determined that the remedy for rest period violations under California Labor Code § 226.7 is subject to the three-year statute of limitations under California Code of Civil Procedure § 338(a). In other words, *Murphy* appears to require modification of the class definition for Plaintiffs' rest period claim and the Piece Rate Rest Period Subclass on the same basis that the parties are stipulating to modifications of class definitions for other class claims and subclasses.

If the parties are unable to file a joint statement on the matter within seven days of service of this order, then they shall simultaneously file independent briefing on the matter by that same date. In the latter situation, the parties shall also simultaneously file responses within seven days after initial briefs are filed and replies within five days after responses are filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>January 26, 2021</u>

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE