1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JABIR SINGH et al.,	No. 1:15-cv-01497-DAD-BAM
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES
13	v.	
14	ROADRUNNER INTERMODAL	
15	SERVICES, LLC; CENTRAL CAL TRANSPORTATION, LLC; and MORGAN SOUTHERN, INC.,	
16	Defendants.	
17	Defendants.	N 116 01000 DAD DAM
18	NICHOLAS E. RICH, an individual, on	No. 1:16-cv-01900-DAD-BAM
19	behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	
20	Plaintiff,	
21	V.	
22	ROADRUNNER INTERMODAL	
23	SERVICES, LLC; CENTRAL CAL TRANSPORTATION, LLC; and	
24	MORGAN SOUTHERN, INC.,	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		

1 No. 1:17-cv-00164-DAD-BAM LATRINA PHILLIPS, individually, and on 2 behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, 3 Plaintiff, 4 v. 5 ROADRUNNER INTERMODAL 6 SERVICES, LLC; MORGAN SOUTHERN, INC., 7 Defendants. 8 9 On June 12, 2017, counsel in the above-captioned cases (1) Jabir Singh et al. v. 10 Roadrunner Intermodal Services, LLC et al., Case No. 1:15-cv-01497-DAD-BAM ("Singh 11 case"); (2) Nicholas E. Rich v. Roadrunner Intermodal Services, LLC et al., Case No. 1:16-cv-12 01900-DAD-BAM ("Rich case"); (3) Latrina Phillips; et al. v. Roadrunner Intermodal Services, 13 LLC; et al., Case No. 1:17-cv-00164-DAD-BAM ("Phillips case") filed a stipulation seeking to 14 consolidate the third related case, the *Phillips* case, with the two previously related and 15 consolidated cases. (Doc. No. 88 at 3–4.) 16 Pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, "[i]f actions before the 17 court involve a common question of law or fact, the court may: (1) join for hearing or trial any or 18 19 all matters at issue in the actions; (2) consolidate the actions; or (3) issue any other orders to avoid unnecessary cost or delay." In exercising the court's discretion, the court "weighs the 20 saving of time and effort consolidation would produce against any inconvenience, delay, or 21 expense that it would cause." Huene v. United States, 743 F.2d 703, 704 (9th Cir. 1984). Here, 22 the court finds that the Singh, Rich, and Phillips actions involve the same or similar parties, 23 claims and questions of fact or law, and that consolidation will avoid unnecessary costs and 24 duplication of proceedings. Good cause appearing and pursuant to the parties' stipulation, Case 25

/////

26

27

28

No. 1:17-cv-00164-DAD-BAM will be consolidated with the previously consolidated cases: Case

No. 1:15-cv-01497-DAD-BAM and Case No. 1:16-cv-01900-DAD-BAM.

Accordingly, 1) The above-referenced related cases Singh, Rich, and Phillips are consolidated for all purposes, including trial, pursuant to Rule 42(a); 2) The Clerk of the Court is directed to file this order in the Singh, Rich, and Phillips cases; 3) Going forward, the parties and the Clerk of the Court are directed to file documents under only the lead case number. Future captions should indicate the lead case number followed by the member case number as follows: **Lead Case:** 1:15-cv-01497-DAD-BAM **Member Case:** 1:16-cv-01900-DAD-BAM **Member Case:** 1:17-cv-00164-DAD-BAM IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **June 13, 2017**