1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	WILLIAM JOHNSON,) Case No.: 1:15-CV-01540- JLT
12	Plaintiff,) SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
13	v.)Pleading Amendment Deadline: 6/24/2016
14	CITY OF RIDGECREST,)
15	Defendant.) Discovery Deadlines:) Non-Expert: 12/12/2016
16) Expert: 1/2/2017 Mid-Discovery Status Conference:
17		8/15/2016 at 8:30 a.m.
18		Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
19		Filing: 1/20/2017 Hearing: 2/17/2017
20		Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
21		Filing: 1/20/2017 Hearing: 3/3/2017
22		-
23		Trial: 3/28/2017 at 8:30 a.m. 510 19 th Street, Bakersfield, CA
24		Bench trial: 2-3 days
25		
26	I. <u>Date of Scheduling Conference</u>	
27	January 22, 2016.	
28		
		1

2 3

4

5

6

1

II. <u>Appearances of Counsel</u>

Dennis Price appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.

Michael Silander appeared on behalf of Defendant.

III. <u>Pleading Amendment Deadline</u>

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than **June 24, 2016**.

7

8

9

10

IV. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date

The parties have exchanged the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1).

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before

December 12, 2016, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before January 2, 2017.

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or November 21, 2016, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before December 12, 2016. The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall <u>be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B),</u> and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall <u>be made pursuant to Fed. R.</u>
 <u>Civ. P. 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder</u>. Failure to
 designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other
 evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement
disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for August 15, 2016 at 8:30 a.m. before the
Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District

Courthouse located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California. A Joint Mid-Discovery Status
 Conference Report, carefully prepared and executed by all counsel, shall be electronically filed in
 CM/ECF, one full week prior to the Conference and shall be e-mailed, in Word format to,
 JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel may appear by telephone via the CourtCall service, provided a
 written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk at least five court days
 before the noticed hearing date.

11

V. <u>Pre-Trial Motion Schedule</u>

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than **January 20, 2017**, and heard on or before **February 17, 2017**. Non-dispositive motions are heard at 9:00 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.

12 No written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good 13 faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the 14 moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate 15 16 Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact Courtroom Deputy Clerk, 17 Susan Hall at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with 18 19 Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice 20 and dropped from calendar.

In scheduling such motions, the Magistrate Judge may grant applications for an order shortening time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion must comply with Local Rule 251.

Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions by telephone, provided a written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date. In the event that more than one attorney requests to appear by telephone then it shall be the obligation of the moving part(ies) to arrange and originate a conference call to the court.

28

24

25

26

27

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **January 20, 2017**, and heard no

later than March 3, 2017, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California. In scheduling such motions,

counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.

VI. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a summary judgment motion; and, 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts at least five days before the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. Failure to

comply may result in the motion being stricken.

VII. **Voluntary Dispute Resolution Program**

The parties indicate they wish the Court to refer them to the VDRP but they would like to 24 conduct some discovery before that occurs. Thus, when counsel agree the matter is in a settlement 25 posture, they may file a stipulation seeking a referral to the Court's VDRP. In the stipulation, they SHALL indicate whether they wish the matter to be stayed pending the completion of the VDRP 26 process or whether they wish to continue the progress of the case simultaneously with the VDRP. 28 Counsel should keep in mind when timing their request for referral to the VDRP, that selection of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1	neutral can take 30 to 60 days.		
2	VIII. <u>Trial Date</u>		
3		March 28, 2017, at 8:30 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield,	
4	Califo	rnia, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.	
5		A. This is a bench trial.	
6		B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 2-3 days.	
7		C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of	
8	California, Rule 285.		
9	IX. <u>Settlement Conference</u>		
10		If the parties desire a conference with the Court after completing the VDRP or in its stead, they	
11	may file a joint written request for a settlement conference.		
12	X.	Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other Techniques to Shorten	
13	<u>Trial</u>		
14		Not applicable at this time.	
15	XI.	Related Matters Pending	
16		There are no pending related matters.	
17	XII.	Compliance with Federal Procedure	
18		All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	
19	and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any		
20	amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules to efficiently handle its		
21	increasing case load, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal Rules of Civil		
22	Procee	lure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.	
23	XIII.	Effect of this Order	
24		The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most	
25	suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the		
26	parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered		
27	to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by		
28	subsequent status conference.		

1	The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a
2	showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations
3	extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by
4	affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause
5	for granting the relief requested.
6	Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	Dated: January 22, 2016 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	6