1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	NEIL EDWARD STONE,) Case No.: 1:15-cv-01571-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION
13	V.	SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH A COURT ORDER
14	E. PEREZ, et al.,) [ECF No. 6]
15	Defendants.) [ECF No. 0]
16))
17	Plaintiff Neil Edward Stone is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Plaintiff consented to the jurisdiction o	
19	the United States Magistrate Judge on November 2, 2015. Local Rule 302.	
20	On January 12, 2016, the Court screened and dismissed Plaintiff's complaint, with leave to	
21	amend, for failure to state a cognizable claim. (ECF No. 6.) Plaintiff was directed to file an amended	
22	complaint within thirty days from the date of service. (Id.) The thirty day period has expired, and	
23	Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the Court's order. As a result	
24	there is no pleading on file which sets forth any claims upon which relief may be granted	
25	Accordingly, Plaintiff shall show cause within thirty (30) days as to why this action should not be	
26	///	
27	///	
28		

1	dismissed. Failure to comply with this order will result in dismissal of the action for failure to	
2	with a court order and failure to state a cognizable claim upon which relief may be granted.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	SIP
5	Dated: February 23, 2016	July N. Lade
6		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

to comply