1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	DAVID ALLEN,	Case No. 1:15-cv-01609-DAD-JDP	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY COURT SHOULD NOT TAKE ACTION BASED ON	
11	V.	APPARENT UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW	
12	NORM KRAMER, et al.,		
13	Defendants.		
14	As a general matter, attorneys practicing in the Eastern District of California must be		
15	members of the Bar of this Court and active members in good standing of the State Bar of		
16	California. <i>See</i> Local Rule 180(a), (b); <i>see also</i> State Bar of California Rule 1-300 (prohibiting		
17	unauthorized practice of law). Additionally, employers who are members of the State Bar of		
18	California are prohibited from employing suspended or involuntarily inactive bar members to		
19 20	engage in the practice of law—if the employer knows or reasonably should know about the		
20	suspension or involuntary inactivation. See State Bar of California Rule 1-311(B) ("A member		
21	shall not employ, associate professionally with, or aid a person the member knows or		
22	reasonably should know is a disbarred, suspended, resigned, or involuntarily inactive member		
23 24	to [a]ppear on behalf of a client in any hearing or proceeding or before any judicial officer,		
24	arbitrator, mediator, court, public agency, refere	e, magistrate, commissioner, or hearing officer	
23 26	[or to e]ngage in activities which constitute the practice of law."). Scott C. Hawkins has		
20	appeared in this case on behalf of defendant Fresno Board of Supervisors. The attorney		
27	directory of the State Bar of California appears t	o indicate that Mr. Hawkins was not	
-0			

authorized to practice law in California during the one-month period from July 3, 2018 to
August 3, 2018.¹ During this period, Mr. Hawkins made two filings in this case. (*See* Doc.
Nos. 51 (Request to Stay the Action, or Alternatively for Additional Time to Reply), 55
(Response to Court Order ECF No. 53)). In the first of these filings, Mr. Hawkins stated: "I
am licensed to practice law in all of the Courts of the State of California, including the Federal
Court for the Eastern District of California." (Doc. No. 51, at 2-3.)

7 The undersigned is concerned that an individual may have engaged in unauthorized practice of law before this court. By the deadline set below, attorney Daniel Cederborg, Fresno 8 9 County Counsel, and Mr. Hawkins are ordered to show cause why this court should not take 10 action based on the apparent unauthorized practice of law. The response to this order should address (1) whether Mr. Hawkins has engaged in unauthorized practice of law (see Doc. Nos. 11 12 51, 55), (2) the measures employed by Fresno County Counsel to prevent unauthorized 13 practice of law on behalf of Fresno Board of Supervisors or the County of Fresno, (3) whether 14 the court should report this matter to the State Bar of California or other entity, and (4) whether 15 the court should impose penalties. The court will determine at a later point whether a hearing 16 is warranted.

Order

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

17

 a. The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on attorney Daniel Cederborg, Fresno County Counsel.

 Attorneys Daniel Cederborg and Scott Hawkins must submit separate, written responses to this order by Tuesday, September 18, 2018.

²⁵ See State Bar of California, *Attorney Search Bar No. 207236*,

²⁶ http://members.calbar.ca.gov/fal/Licensee/Detail/207236 (last visited Sep. 10, 2018) (stating, alongside the entry 7/3/2018, "Not Eligible To Practice Law in California" and "Admin

²⁷ Inactive/MCLE noncompliance"). Mr. Hawkins appears to have re-entered Active status on August 3, 2018; an entry alongside 8/3/2018 states "Active." *Id*.

1		× ×
2	2	_
3	Dated: September 11, 2018	Jeremy Peterson
4		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5		
6		
7		
8 9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	4	
15	5	
16	6	
17	7	
18	8	
19	9	
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24	.4	
25	5	
26		
27		
28	.8	
		3