1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIN COHN STILES David K Cohn, Esq., SBN 68768 dcohn@chainlaw.com Neil K. Gehlawat, Esq., SBN 289388 ngehlawat@chainlaw.com 1731 Chester Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 Telephone: (661) 323-4000 Facsimile: (661) 324-1352 THE LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS C. SEABAUGH Thomas C. Seabaugh, Esq., SBN 272458 tseabaugh@seabaughfirm.com 128 North Fair Oaks Avenue Pasadena, California 91103 Telephone: (818) 928-5290		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
11			
12	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
13	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	,		
15	JANE DOE, an individual,	Case No. 1:15-cv-01641-DAD-JLT	
16	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER ON JOINT	
17	N/C	STIPULATION	
	I VS.		
18	VS.		
18 19	COUNTY OF KERN, a municipality,		
19	COUNTY OF KERN, a municipality, GEORGE ANDERSON, an individual, and DOES 1-10,		
19 20	COUNTY OF KERN, a municipality, GEORGE ANDERSON, an individual,		
19 20 21	COUNTY OF KERN, a municipality, GEORGE ANDERSON, an individual, and DOES 1-10,		
19 20 21 22	COUNTY OF KERN, a municipality, GEORGE ANDERSON, an individual, and DOES 1-10, Defendants.	ve submitted a stipulation related to the remaining	
19 20 21 22 23	COUNTY OF KERN, a municipality, GEORGE ANDERSON, an individual, and DOES 1-10, Defendants.		
19 20 21 22 23 24	COUNTY OF KERN, a municipality, GEORGE ANDERSON, an individual, and DOES 1-10, Defendants. As requested by the Court, the parties have		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	COUNTY OF KERN, a municipality, GEORGE ANDERSON, an individual, and DOES 1-10, Defendants. As requested by the Court, the parties had deadlines in this case. (Doc. 51) Thus, the Court.	rt ORDERS : seal. Thus, the schedule proposed provided for too much	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	COUNTY OF KERN, a municipality, GEORGE ANDERSON, an individual, and DOES 1-10, Defendants. As requested by the Court, the parties have deadlines in this case. (Doc. 51) Thus, the Court of the Court	rt ORDERS : seal. Thus, the schedule proposed provided for too much ted that here.	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	COUNTY OF KERN, a municipality, GEORGE ANDERSON, an individual, and DOES 1-10, Defendants. As requested by the Court, the parties had deadlines in this case. (Doc. 51) Thus, the Countime related to the request to seal and the Court has correct time related to the request to seal and the Court has correct.	rt ORDERS : seal. Thus, the schedule proposed provided for too much	

1	1.	Dispositive motions, if any, SHALL be filed no later than March 10, 2017 and	
2	heard on April 18, 2017 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 5 before District Judge Dale A. Drozd;		
3	2.	Any motion to seal related to the dispositive motion SHALL be filed no later than	
4	February 2	7, 2017;	
5	3.	The pretrial conference is continued to June 5, 2017 at 3:30 p.m. in Courtroom 5	
6	before Distr	ict Judge Dale A. Drozd;	
7	4.	The trial is continued to August 1, 2017 at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 5 before	
8	District Judg	ge Dale A. Drozd.	
9			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11	Dated:	February 3, 2017 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
12		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			