1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED S'	TATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN D	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10) Case No. 1.15 an 01652 . If T
11 12	ARTURO MORALES,) Case No.: 1:15-cv-01652 JLT
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO SHOW) CAUSE
15 14	v. CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, et al.,))
14	Defendants.)
15	Defendants.))
10	On April 28, 2016, the Court order	red Plaintiff to show cause why the matter should not be
18	1 7 7	nons and complaint, the failure to comply with Court orders
19		oc. 14) Plaintiff has now responded and explained that a
20		d Mr. Morales has delayed service. <u>Id</u> at 1. This
21		ounsel reports service will occur no later than May 14, 2016
22	Id. Thus, the Court ORDERS ,	
23	///	
24	///	
25	111	
-	/// ///	
26		
	///	
26	/// ///	

1	1. The order to show cause is DISCHARGED . Plaintiff is advised that further failures to	
2	comply with the Court's order or his failure to make service as promised (Doc. 15 at 1) will result in	
3	the Court dismissing the action without further notice to him.	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6		
7	Dated:May 12, 2016/s/ Jennifer L. ThurstonUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	