1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
<u>6</u>			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MONICO J. QUIROGA, III,	CASE NO. 1:15-cv-01697-AWI-MJS (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION	
13	V.	FOR TRANSCRIPTS AND EXCERPTS OF RECORD	
14			
	TIMOTHY KING, et al.	(ECF No. 46)	
15	Defendants.	(ECF No. 46)	
15 16		(ECF No. 46)	
16 17	Defendants.		
16 17 18	Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner procee	eding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil	
16 17 18 19	Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceer rights action brought pursuant to 42 U	eding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil .S.C. § 1983. The action was dismissed with	
16 17 18 19 20	Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceer rights action brought pursuant to 42 U prejudice for failure to state a claim, and	eding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil .S.C. § 1983. The action was dismissed with Plaintiff appealed. (ECF Nos. 38 & 42.) Before	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceer rights action brought pursuant to 42 U prejudice for failure to state a claim, and the Court is Plaintiff's March 13, 2017	eding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil .S.C. § 1983. The action was dismissed with	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceer rights action brought pursuant to 42 U prejudice for failure to state a claim, and the Court is Plaintiff's March 13, 2017 (ECF No. 46.)	eding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil .S.C. § 1983. The action was dismissed with Plaintiff appealed. (ECF Nos. 38 & 42.) Before motion for transcripts and excerpts of record.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceed rights action brought pursuant to 42 U prejudice for failure to state a claim, and the Court is Plaintiff's March 13, 2017 (ECF No. 46.) No hearings were conducted in	eding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil S.C. § 1983. The action was dismissed with Plaintiff appealed. (ECF Nos. 38 & 42.) Before motion for transcripts and excerpts of record.	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceed rights action brought pursuant to 42 U prejudice for failure to state a claim, and the Court is Plaintiff's March 13, 2017 (ECF No. 46.) No hearings were conducted in produced. Plaintiff's request for transcript	eding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil S.C. § 1983. The action was dismissed with Plaintiff appealed. (ECF Nos. 38 & 42.) Before motion for transcripts and excerpts of record.	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceed rights action brought pursuant to 42 U prejudice for failure to state a claim, and the Court is Plaintiff's March 13, 2017 (ECF No. 46.) No hearings were conducted in produced. Plaintiff's request for transcript In passing, the Court advises Plain	eding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil .S.C. § 1983. The action was dismissed with Plaintiff appealed. (ECF Nos. 38 & 42.) Before motion for transcripts and excerpts of record. In this case. There are no transcripts to be s will be denied on that basis.	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceed rights action brought pursuant to 42 U prejudice for failure to state a claim, and the Court is Plaintiff's March 13, 2017 (ECF No. 46.) No hearings were conducted in produced. Plaintiff's request for transcripted In passing, the Court advises Plainting appellants to submit excerpts of record	eding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil S.C. § 1983. The action was dismissed with Plaintiff appealed. (ECF Nos. 38 & 42.) Before motion for transcripts and excerpts of record. In this case. There are no transcripts to be s will be denied on that basis. In tiff that Ninth Circuit rules do not require pro se d. Ninth Circuit Rule 17-1.2(a). Furthermore,	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceed rights action brought pursuant to 42 U prejudice for failure to state a claim, and the Court is Plaintiff's March 13, 2017 (ECF No. 46.) No hearings were conducted in produced. Plaintiff's request for transcripted In passing, the Court advises Plainting appellants to submit excerpts of record	eding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil .S.C. § 1983. The action was dismissed with Plaintiff appealed. (ECF Nos. 38 & 42.) Before motion for transcripts and excerpts of record. In this case. There are no transcripts to be s will be denied on that basis.	

1	government expense where not required by the appellate court. See 28 U.S.C. §	
2	1915(c). Accordingly, this request will be denied on that ground as well	
3	Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff's motion for transcripts and excerpts of record is	
4	HEREBY DENIED.	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: <u>April 14, 2017</u> <u>Isl Michael J. Seng</u>	
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
20	2	