1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12			
13	C.M., by and through her Guardian ad Litem, Helen Cervantes, individually, and as	1:15-cv-01709-LJO-SAB	
14	successor-in-interest to RAMON	ORDER RE STIPULATION FOR	
15	TALAMANTEZ MENDOZA, et al.,	SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE RESPONSIVE	
16	Plaintiffs,	PLEADING	
17	v.	(ECF No. 14)	
18	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	The parties stipulate under Local Rule 144(a) to a twenty-eight-day extension of time for		
22	Defendants Schwarzenegger, Cate, and Yates to respond to the complaint.		
23	The parties request the extension of time because Plaintiffs' counsel anticipate filing either		
24	a substitution of counsel or a motion to withdraw from the representation by the first week of		
25	May. The requested extension would permit Defendants' counsel to then confer with whomever		
26	would be proceeding with the action.		
27			
28			
		1	

1	This would be a first extension for Defendant Schwarzenegger and a second extension for	
2	Defendants Cate and Yates. Defendants would have until May 19, 2016 to respond to the	
3	complaint.	
4		
5	Dated: April 21, 2016	/s/ Brian M. Bush (as authorized on April 21, 2016)
6		BRIAN M. BUSH Boucher, LLP
7		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8	Datadi April 21, 2016	Kamala D. Harris
9	Dated: April 21, 2016	Attorney General of California CHRISTOPHER J. BECKER
10		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
11		/s/ Jon S. Allin
12		JON S. ALLIN Supervising Deputy Attorney General
13		Attorneys for Defendants Schwarzenegger, Cate, and Yates
14		
15		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Detect April 22 2016	Jung A. De
18	Dated: April 22, 2016	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19 20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2
		2