1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PERCY LEE RHODES,) Case No.: 1:15-cv-01714-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,))) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION
13	v.	SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH A COURT ORDER AND
14	FRESNO COUNTY, et al.,) FAILURE TO STATE A COGNIZABLE CLAIM) FOR RELIEF
15	Defendants.)) [ECF No. 14]
16)
17	Plaintiff Percy Lee Rhodes is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Plaintiff consented to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge on November 23, 2015. Local Rule 302. On February 2, 2016, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim under section 1983 and ordered Plaintiff to file an amended complaint within thirty days. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). More than thirty days have since passed, and Plaintiff has not complied with or otherwise responded to the Court's order. As a result, there is no pleading on file which sets forth any claims upon which relief may be granted. Within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff is directed to show cause why this action should not be dismissed. Failure to comply with	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	///	
28		

this order will result in the action being dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief. IT IS SO ORDERED. A.E Dated: March 11, 2016 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE