1		
2		
-		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PERCY LEE RHODES,	No. 2:15-cv-2220 DAD P (TEMP)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	FRESNO COUNTY, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his complaint, plaintiff	
19	alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged violations took place in Fresno	
20	County, which is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern	
21	District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).	
22	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper	
23	division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the	
24	court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. In light of the	
25	1996 amendments to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, this court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in	
26	forma pauperis.	
27	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
28	1. This court has not ruled on plaintif	f's request to proceed in forma pauperis; 1
		1

1	2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
2	California sitting in Fresno;	
3	3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be	
4	filed at:	
5	United States District Court Eastern District of California	
6	2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721	
7		
8	Dated: November 10, 2015	
9	Ferdall P. Newman	
10	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11	/rhod2220.22	
12	/11002220.22	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
23 26		
20 27		
28		
	2	