| 1  |  |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  |  |  |
| 3  |  |  |
| 4  |  |  |
| 5  |  |  |
| 6  |  |  |
| 7  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT                                 |  |
| 8  | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA                               |  |
| 9  |  |  |
| 10 | TOM BAUSER, an individual,                                   | Case No. 2:14-CV-01946 JAM-EFB                 |
| 11 |  | RELATED CASE ORDER                             |
| 12 | Plaintiff, )   | Related to Nos.:<br>2:13-CV-02439 JAM-EFB      |
| 13 | v. ))  | 2:14-CV-01946 JAM-EFB<br>2:14-CV-01957 JAM-EFB |
| 14 | RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 )                           |  |
| 15 | through 50, inclusive,                                       | 2:14-CV-01963 JAM-EFB<br>2:14-CV-01965 JAM-EFB |
| 16 | Defendants.  | 1:15-CV-01721 JAM-EFB<br>1:15-CV-01748 JAM-EFB |
| 17 |  | 1:15-CV-01874 JAM-EFB<br>2:15-CV-00429 JAM-EFB |
| 18 |  | 2:15-CV-00622 JAM-EFB<br>2:15-CV-00623 JAM-EFB |
| 19 |  | 2:15-CV-02150 JAM-EFB<br>2:15-CV-02396 JAM-EFB |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 | DESTREA BELL, LOUIS SERPA, and )<br>AGNES MARCALLINO,        |  |
| 22 |  | Case No.: 1:15-CV-01872 JAM-JLT                |
| 23 | Plaintiff, )   |  |
| 24 | v. )   |  |
| 25 | RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 )<br>through 50, inclusive, |  |
| 26 |  |  |
| 27 | Defendants. )  |  |
| 28 |  |  |
| 1  | ۱ <u> </u>   |  |

1 RAMON HURTADO, an individual, ) Case No. 2:15-CV-02594 JAM-AC 2 Plaintiff, 3 v. 4 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, 5 Defendants. 6 ROBERT L. HOLLANDSWORTH, an Case No. 2:15-CV-02597 JAM-CMK individual, 7 Plaintiff, 8 v. 9 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 ) 10 through 50, inclusive, 11 Defendants.

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal. 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

18 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under 19 Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are 20 assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation 21 of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this 22 court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and 23 magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge assignment in the actions denominated 1:15-CV-01872 JAM-JLT, 2:15-CV-02594 JAM-AC and 2:15-CV-02597 JAM-CMK be reassigned to Magistrate Judge Edmund F. Brennan for all further proceedings. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown

2

| 1  | as 1:15-CV-01872 JAM-EFB, 2:15-CV-02594 JAM-EFB and 2:15-CV-02597 |
|----|---|
| 2  | JAM-EFB.  |
| 3  | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |
| 4  | Dated: January 22, 2016   |
| 5  | /s/ John A. Mendez  |
| 6  | JOHN A. MENDEZ<br>United States District Court Judge              |
| 7  |   |
| 8  |   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
| 26 |   |
| 27 |   |
| 28 |   |
| I  | 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I                           |