

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

|                                  |   |                                  |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| AARON GUZMAN and LISA LADNER,    | ) | Case No. 2:16-CV-000211 JAM-KJN  |
|                                  | ) |                                  |
|                                  | ) | <b><u>RELATED CASE ORDER</u></b> |
| Plaintiffs,                      | ) | <u>Related to Nos.:</u>          |
|                                  | ) | 2:13-CV-02439 JAM-EFB            |
| v.                               | ) | 2:14-CV-01946 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:14-CV-01957 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:14-CV-01960 JAM-EFB            |
| RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 | ) | 2:14-CV-01961 JAM-EFB            |
| through 50, inclusive,           | ) | 2:14-CV-01963 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:14-CV-01965 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 1:15-CV-01721 JAM-EFB            |
| Defendants.                      | ) | 1:15-CV-01748 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 1:15-CV-01872 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 1:15-CV-01874 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:15-CV-00429 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:15-CV-00622 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:15-CV-00623 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:15-CV-02150 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:15-CV-02396 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:15-CV-02594 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:15-CV-02597 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) |                                  |

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal. 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

///

