UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
JESUS SALAZAR and MATTHEW No. 1:15-cv-01758-DAD-SKO VALENCIA,
Plaintiffs,
v. <u>ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO SUBMIT</u> SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING REGARDING
SYSCO CENTRAL CALIFORNIA, INC.,
(Doc. No. 15) Defendant.
On December 2, 2016, the parties filed a joint stipulation for approval of a settlement
pursuant to California's Private Attorney General Act ("PAGA"). (Doc. No. 15.) Therein, the
parties stated that after engaging in mediation, they agreed to a settlement of plaintiff's
representative claims under PAGA. Accordingly, they now seek the court's approval of the
parties' settlement as to those claims.
Under California law, the trial court must "review and approve" any settlement of claims
brought pursuant to PAGA. Cal. Lab. Code § $2699(l)(2)$ . In order to review and approve the
proposed settlement agreement, however, this court must have before it a copy of the fully
executed agreement, or alternatively, a sworn declaration summarizing all relevant terms of the
agreement as it pertains to plaintiffs' PAGA claims. Accordingly, the court hereby orders the

1	describing all relevant terms of the agreement. In addition, the court directs the parties to submit
2	briefing regarding the appropriate standard of review this court is to apply in the considering and
3	approving a settlement agreement pertaining to PAGA claims.
4	For the reasons set forth above,
5	1. The parties are directed to file a supplemental brief, not to exceed five pages, by no
6	later than January 9, 2017, (a) addressing the applicable standard of review in
7	approving settlements of claims brought pursuant to PAGA, and (b) identifying
8	relevant authority, if any, to support their position; and
9	2. The parties are further directed to file a copy of the fully executed settlement
10	agreement, <sup>1</sup> or alternatively, a sworn declaration describing all relevant terms of the
11	agreement, on which the court may base its decision.
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	Dated: December 21, 2016 Jale A. Dryd
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	To the output the neuties' actilement component includes enoughing that do not contain to
26	<sup>1</sup> To the extent the parties' settlement agreement includes provisions that do not pertain to plaintiffs' PAGA claims and that do not require court approval, the parties may request to file
27	portions of the agreement under seal in accordance with this court's Local Rules and upon a showing that "compelling reasons" support such secrecy. <i>See Kamakana v. City &amp; Cty. of</i>
28	<i>Honolulu</i> , 447 F.3d 1172, 1178–80 (9th Cir. 2006).