

1 than a right”); *United States v. Shadley*, No. 2:09-MC-80 WBS GGH, 2010 WL 2509910, at *1
2 (E.D. Cal. June 17, 2010) (denying request to proceed IFP where applicant “failed to adequately
3 document her financial status”). Similarly, all “[a]ffidavits and certifications shall be signed by
4 the person executing the document.” Local Rule 131(b).

5
6 Plaintiffs have not submitted complete forms to apply for IFP status. Instead, Plaintiffs
7 have filed forms that are almost entirely blank, with the exception of a single checked box
8 indicating that Plaintiffs received income from “other sources.” The forms are not signed, nor do
9 they even indicate who filled them out or which case they are filed in. (ECF Nos. 2, 3.) Before the
10 Court can evaluate Plaintiffs’ applications to proceed without prepayment of fees, Plaintiffs must
11 fully and appropriately fill them out.

12
13 Plaintiffs shall fully and accurately complete their applications with the required
14 information and file them with the Court no later than **December 7, 2015**. Plaintiffs are advised
15 that failure to comply with the Court’s order may result in the denial of the applications or
16 dismissal of the case.

17
18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20 Dated: November 30, 2015

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
/s/ Eric P. Shroy
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE