

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JESUS VILLALBA RAMIREZ,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
CALIFORNIA,  
Respondent.

1:15 -cv-01788 JLT (HC)  
ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL  
(Doc. 5)

Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. (Doc. 5) There is no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. *See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze*, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); *Mitchell v. Wyrick*, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." *See* Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 9, 2015

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE