1 2 3 4 5 6	Edward J. Johnson (SBN 234205) Attorney at Law 5622 Heddon Court Mariposa, CA 95338 559-760-7659 edjohnson@sti.net Attorney in Pro Per		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	EDWARD J. JOHNSON,) Case No.: 1:15-CV-01793-MJS	
11	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO	
12	vs.) CONTINUE MOTION TO DISMISS) HEARING	
13	GERALD JOHNSON,	Hearing Date: February 12, 2016 Time: 9:30 a.m.	
14	Defendant.	Courtroom: 6	
15		New Hearing Date: March 11, 2016 New Time: 9:30 am	
16		Courtroom: 6 Honorable Michael J. Seng	
17			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that the parties to this litigation, by and through their		
19	respective attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and agree to continue the Motion to Dismiss		
20	present hearing date of February 12, 2016 to March 11, 2016, and to continue related dates for		
21	the filing of Opposition Papers and Reply correspondingly.		
22	Good cause for the request is shown by the following. A Motion to Dismiss was filed by		
23	Defendant on 12/7/15. On 12/9/16, Plaintiff's Counsel informed Defendant's Counsel that he		
24	would be unavailable for the ensuing twenty-five days due to a long-scheduled personal/familial		
25	holiday visit overseas. On 12/10/15, Plaintiff's Counsel left the country for Australia, where		
26	access to the internet and phone service was unreliable and frequently unavailable. Plaintiff		
27	returned to California on 1/2/16. This period of absence included the year-end religious and New		
28	Year's holidays. On 1/7/16, a Status Conference, advanced from 3/3/16, was conducted		

1	regarding Consent to Magistrate Jurisdiction. A Consent to Jurisdiction of U.S. Magistrate Judg		
2	was filed by Plaintiff on 1/12/16 (Defendant had already filed Consent prior to the Status		
3	Conference). Further, Plaintiff Counsel's calendar is unusually heavy due to the recent holidays		
4	in that Counsel is an Independent Administrative Hearing Officer with over a dozen hearings		
5	calendared and noticed for hearing and written determination prior to the scheduled date for		
6	filing opposition papers to the Motion to Dismiss.		
7	Given that Plaintiff was unavailable for an extended period of time, the intervening		
8	holidays, the advanced Status Conference, and Plaintiff Counsel's unusually heavy calendar, a		
9	continuation of an additional 28 days is reasonable. There is no genuine prejudice to any party		
10	resulting from the continuance.		
11	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that this stipulation may be signed in counterparts.		
12			
13	Dated:1/14/16	By:/s/Edward Johnson	
14		Edward J. Johnson	
15		Plaintiff's Attorney in Pro Per	
16	Dated:1/20/16	By:/s/Douglas Larsen	
17		Douglas M. Larsen	
18		Attorney for Defendant	
19	ORD	ER	
20	Given the Joint Stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss Hearing currently set for February 12, 2016 be and hereby is continued to March 11, 2016, at 9:30 am in Courtroom 6 and that dates for the filing of opposition and reply papers be extended correspondingly.		
21			
22			
23			
24	opposition and reply papers be extended correspo	nomgry.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26			
27	Dated: <u>January 23, 2016</u>	181 Michael J. Seng	
28		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	