1 2 3 4 5 6 7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL A. WASHINGTON,) Case No.: 1:15-cv-01805-LJO-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
13	v.	APPEAR FOR THE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE BY VIDEO OR TELEPHONE
14	G. GARCIA, et al.,) [Doc. 37]
15	Defendants.)
16)
17	Plaintiff Michael A. Washington is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights	
18	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This case is currently set for a settlement conference before the	
19	undersigned on January 10, 2017.	
20	On December 27, 2016, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting to appear at the settlement	
21	conference by video or telephone. (Doc. 37.) For the reasons set forth below, Plaintiff's request will	
22	be denied.	
23	Generally, the Court allows inmates to appear telephonically for all pretrial proceedings. The	
24	Court finds, however, that settlement conferences are not productive without the ability to have face to	
25	face contact with the participants. As such, the Court requires parties to personally appear for	
26	settlement conferences.	
27	Plaintiff requests that he be allowed to appear by video conference or telephone. Due to	
28	importance of face to face contact and issues that may arise with video conferencing and telephone	
		1

1	systems, the parties will be required to attend the settlement conference in person. The Court notes,	
2	however, that a settlement conference is voluntary in this case. If Plaintiff chooses not to physically	
3	appear at the settlement conference, the parties may conduct settlement discussion without the	
4	involvement of the Court.	
5	Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff's motion to appear at the settlement conference by video	
6	conference or telephone is DENIED.	
7		
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated: January 6, 2017 [s] Sheila K. Oberto	
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	