1		
1		
2		
3		
4		OC DICTRICT COLUDT
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	REGINALD RAY YORK,	Case No. 1:15-cv-01828-DAD-BAM (PC)
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
10	V.	SUBPOENA NON-PARTIES FOR DOCUMENTS AND FURTHER
11	G. GARCIA, et al.,	DISCOVERY
12	Defendants.	(ECF No. 102)
13		TWENTY-ONE (21) DAY DEADLINE
14		
15	Plaintiff Reginald Ray York ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil	
16	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is currently set for a jury trial	
17	commencing November 3, 2020 before District Judge Dale A. Drozd.	
18	Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's renewed motion to subpoena non-parties for	
19	documents and further discovery, filed April 30, 2020. (ECF No. 102.) The Court finds it	
20	appropriate to obtain a response from Defendants regarding the motion. Accordingly, Defendants	
21	shall file a response to Plaintiff's motion within twenty-one (21) days from the date of service of	
22	this order. Plaintiff's reply, if any, is due within seven (7) days from the date of service of	
23	Defendants' response.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: May 4, 2020	/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		