## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 REGINALD RAY YORK, No. 1:15-cv-01828-DAD-BAM 12 ORDER REOUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE Plaintiff. OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF NON-13 OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY v. **JUDGMENT** 14 M. STEWART, et al., (ECF No. 24) 15 Defendants. THIRTY (30) DAY DEADLINE 16 17 18 Plaintiff Reginald Ray York ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil 19 20 rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on Plaintiff's claims against 21 Defendant Garcia for excessive force, Defendant Neighbors for failure to protect Plaintiff from 22 the use of force, and Defendants Garcia, Neighbors, and Stewart for failure to decontaminate plaintiff's cell. This matter was referred to the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) 23 and Local Rule 302. 24 On June 15, 2017, Defendant Stewart filed a motion for summary judgment under Federal 25 26 Rule of Civil Procedure 56 for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. (ECF No. 24.)

judgment. Woods v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2012); Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 957

Plaintiff was provided with notice of the requirements for opposing a motion for summary

27

28

(9th Cir. 1988); Klingele v. Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 409, 411–12 (9th Cir. 1988). (ECF No. 24-3.) Plaintiff's response was due within twenty-one (21) days of the date of service of Defendant's motion. That deadline has passed, but Plaintiff has not filed an opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion. Plaintiff also has not otherwise communicated with the Court. Pursuant to Local Rule 230(1), Plaintiff is HEREBY ORDERED to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Defendant's motion within thirty (30) days. Plaintiff is warned that the failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions, including a recommendation to dismiss this action. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/Barbara A. McAuliffe Dated: **July 19, 2017**