

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 JOHNNY MIKE TORRES,
12 Petitioner,
13 v.
14 DHS/ICE, et al.,
15 Respondents.

Case No. 1:15-cv-01841-SAB-HC
ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
WITHDRAW CONSENT TO
JURISDICTION OF UNITED STATES
MAGISTRATE JUDGE
(ECF No. 19)

16
17 Petitioner is proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28
18 U.S.C. § 2241. Both Petitioner and Respondents previously consented to disposition of this case
19 by a United States magistrate judge. (ECF Nos. 12, 13). Petitioner now moves to withdraw his
20 consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction. (ECF No. 19).

21 Once the parties have consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction in a civil case under 28
22 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1), the reference to the magistrate judge can be withdrawn by the Court only
23 “for good cause shown on its own motion, or under *extraordinary circumstances* shown by any
24 party.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(4) (emphasis added); Dixon v. Ylst, 990 F.2d 478, 480 (9th Cir. 1993)
25 (“There is no absolute right, in a civil case, to withdraw consent to trial and other proceedings
26 before a magistrate judge.”). In the instant motion, Petitioner acknowledges that he “had
27 previously consented to disposition of this case by a United States Magistrate Judge.” (ECF No.
28 19 at 1). However, “upon greater consideration of the magnitude of this case, and in the interest

