1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9   FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11   ISSAC DA'BOUR DAWSON,   No. 1:15-cv-01867-DAD-GSA

12   Plaintiff,

13   v.   ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
                                              RECOMMENDATIONS, AND DISMISSING
14   COMMISSIONER OF THE   CERTAIN CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS
     DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
15   AND REHABILITATION, et al.,   (Doc. No. 67)

16   Defendants.

17

18   Plaintiff Isaac Da'bour Dawson is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and *in forma*

19   *pauperis* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to

20   a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

21   On December 7, 2017, in light of the recent decision in *Williams v. King*, 875 F.3d 500, 504 (9th

22   Cir. 2017), the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending

23   that claims be dismissed consistent with the magistrate judge's prior screening order of March 23,

24   2016. (Doc. Nos. 9, 67.) On December 22, 2017, plaintiff filed objections to the findings and

25   recommendations. (Doc. No. 70.)

26   In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, the

27   undersigned has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire

28   file, including plaintiff's objections, the undersigned concludes the findings and

                                              1

1 | recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

2 | Accordingly:

3 | 1. The findings and recommendations issued on December 7, 2017 (Doc. No. 67) are

4 | adopted in full;

5 | 2. Consistent with the magistrate judge's screening order issued on March 23, 2016,

6 | defendants Beard, Davey, Jennings, Whitford, Arnett, Noland, and Flores are dismissed

7 | from this action based on plaintiff's failure to state any claims against them; and

8 | 3. This case is referred back to the currently assigned magistrate judge for further

9 | proceedings.

10 | IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 | Dated: **January 8, 2018**

12 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE