

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8
9 RASHAD KING,) 1:15-cv-01885-BAM (PC)
10 Plaintiff,)
11 v.) ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF’S
12 S. HOLLAND, et al.,) RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT’S ANSWER
13 Defendants.) (ECF No. 31)
14)

15 Plaintiff Rashad King (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma
16 pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds against
17 Defendants Holland, Duncan, and Solis for excessive force in violation of the Eighth
18 Amendment, against Defendant Tingley for failure to intervene, and against Defendant Holland
19 for sexual assault in violation of the Eighth Amendment.

20 On March 10, 2017, Defendants Duncan, Holland, and Tingley answered Plaintiff’s
21 complaint.¹ On April 3, 2017, Plaintiff filed a response to Defendants Duncan, Holland, and
22 Tingley’s answer. (ECF No. 31.)

23 In relevant part, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide that there shall be a
24 complaint, an answer to a complaint, and, if the court orders one, a reply to an answer. Fed. R.
25 Civ. P. 7(a). The Court has not ordered a reply to Defendants’ answer and declines to make such
26 an order.

27 _____
28 ¹ Defendant Solis has not yet been served with the summons and complaint in this matter. Service by the
United States Marshal is pending. (ECF No. 25.)

