

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 RODERICK WILLIAM LEAR,
11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 MARTIN BITER, et al.,
14 Defendants.

Case No. 1:15-cv-01903-MJS (PC)

ORDER:

**(1) GRANTING MOTIONS FOR LEAVE
TO AMEND (ECF Nos. 6 and 9); AND**

**(2) GRANTING MOTIONS FOR
CLARIFICATION (ECF Nos. 10 and 12)**

THIRTY (30) DAY DEADLINE

15
16
17
18
19 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil
20 rights action brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1983. He initiated this action on December
21 21, 2015 with the filing of his complaint. (ECF No. 1.) He then filed two motions for leave
22 to amend (ECF Nos. 6 and 9), and two motions for clarification (ECF Nos. 10 and 12)
23 asking for a determination on his motions to amend.

24 Plaintiff may amend his pleading once as a matter of course prior to service. Fed.
25 R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1). Accordingly, Plaintiff's motions to amend (ECF Nos. 6 and 9) and
26 motions for clarification (ECF Nos. 10 and 12) are **HEREBY GRANTED**.
27
28

1 The Court will refrain from screening Plaintiff's complaint in anticipation of his
2 amended complaint. Plaintiff shall file his amended complaint within thirty (30) days of
3 the date of this order. If Plaintiff fails to do so, the Court will dismiss this action, with
4 prejudice, for failure to comply with a court order.

5
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: February 1, 2016

8 /s/ Michael J. Seng
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28