1		
2		
3		
<u>4</u>		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	RODERICK WILLIAM LEAR,	Case No. 1:15-cv-01903-DAD-MJS (PC)
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION
10	v.	(ECF NO. 49)
11	MARTIN BITER, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14		
15	Disintiff is a state prisoner proce	ading are as and in forms nounaris in this sivil
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The action proceeds against	
17	Defendente Alexande Delevites de Districtifie Fishthe Assessment des faites fai	
18		
19	inadequate medical care.	ombor 29, 2017 "Motion to Doguast Court Allow
20	Before the Court is Plaintiff's December 28, 2017 "Motion to Request Court Allow Plaintiff Time to Respond to All Defendants Motions." (ECE No. 49.) The motion arises	
21	Plaintiff Time to Respond to All Defendants Motions." (ECF No. 49.) The motion arises	
22	out of the Court having granting Defendants' motion for a relatively brief extension of	
23	time in which to file a motion for summary judgment on exhaustion grounds. (ECF No.	
24	45.) Given a clear showing of good cause, the Court granted the motion without awaiting	
25	Plaintiff's opposition.	
26		
27		
28		
	1	

Plaintiff appears to construe the Court's order as favoring Defendants, cutting off Plaintiff's opportunity to respond to the motion for summary judgment, and punishing Plaintiff for proceeding pro se.

The Court regularly grants ex parte requests to both sides to extend time, particularly where, as here, the extension is brief and there is no apparent prejudice to the opposing party. Local Rule 144(d). Plaintiff presents no cause why the motion should not have been granted. He will have an opportunity to respond to the motion on the merits. The Court does not, and will not, issue substantive rulings without affording Plaintiff an opportunity to respond.

Plaintiff's motion "Motion to Request Court Allow Plaintiff Time to Respond to All Defendants Motions" is HEREBY DENIED. To the extent Plaintiff requires additional time to respond to specific motions or orders he may file a motion for extension of time.

1	3	
1	4	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 30, 2018

<u>Isl Michael J. Seng</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE